



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/883,685 06/27/97 LANGHANS R **59-118-3** 

QM21/0321

MCCORMICK, PAULDING & HUBER CITYPLACE II, 185 ASYLUM STREET HARTFORD CT 06103-4102

EX	AMINER
GOODMAN,	. C
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3724	.38

DATE MAILED:

03/21/01

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:							
a)		is extended to run or con	tinues to run	from the date of the final rejection			
b)	b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response, and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).							
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 2/28/01 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deem to place the application in condition for allowance:							
4.		The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.						
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).						
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).							
		<ul> <li>d.  They are not deemed to place the applicance appeal.</li> </ul>	cation in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
		NOTE:					
2.		Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if sul	bmitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling			
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered — will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:							
		Claims allowed:					
		Claims objected to:  Claims rejected:	£ 1d-21				
	,	However;	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>	•			
		Applicant's response has overcome the following	lowing rejection(s):				
	_						
4.	Ш	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsiderat	ion has been considered but doe	s not overcome the rejection because			
	_						
5.	L	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered be presented.	cause applicant has not shown go	ood and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier			
The proposed drawing correction has not been approved by the examiner.							
Other PROPOSED AMENOMENT TO FIG. I STILL INCLUDES Charles Souther							
		NEW MATTER,		Marie Herifica			

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

AU 3724